State, challenges and options of pedogenetic modelling

at pedon and landscape scales

Peter A. Finke peter.finke@ugent.be

- 1. What is pedogenetic modelling
- 2. Schools of soil-landscape PM
 - 2 examples
- 3. State of progress
 - literature scan
- 4. Challenges
 - Per school of PM
 - Caused by temporal extent of PM



Pedogenetic modelling

- Correlated co-evolution of multiple soil properties over decade .. millennium time extents (traditional purpose to understand horizonation & for classification)
- Until recently: mostly single issue models
 - acidification, C, nutrient leaching, biocide leaching, agronomic, ... models
 - Integrated assessment of soil development under Global Change was multi-model study, possibly lacking feedbacks
- Is a complete pedogenetic model GC-ready?

Pedogenetic modelling

Pedogenetic models respond to soil forming factors (CLORPT) acting as BC

Factor	Boundary condition	Process	Soil regime		
Climate	Temperature	Heat flow	Temperature regime		
	Atmospheric deposition	Solute flow	Solution composition		
	Precipitation, Evaporation	Water flow	Maistura regime		
	(Man regulated) Plant cover	Water flow	Moisture regime		
	(Man regulated) Plant production	C-cycling	C-status		
Organisms	(Man regulated) Plant production	Nutrient cycling	Colution (advantion (provinitate status		
Organisms	Man: Fertilization	Solute flow	Solution/adsorption/precipitate status	Horizonation	
	Treefall, Faunal activity	Bioturbation		Classification	
	Man: Tillage	Turbation	Dation Solid matter distribution		
	Truncation	Erosion	Solid matter distribution		
Relief	Burial	Deposition			
	Exposition (radiation, precipitation)	Water flow	Moisture regime		
	Initial Mineralogy	Chem. weathering	Mineralogical composition		
Parent material		Phys. Weathering			
	Initial lexture	Clay migration	Texture profile		
	Initial Chemistry	Chemical equilibriums	Solution/adsorption/precipitate status		
Time	Changes in boundary conditions	Process dynamics	Regime dynamics		

GC-affected

Challenges

Global change modelling of soils

Global change = change in climate + human modification of other aspects of the global environment (compartment: Soil)

Factor	Boundary condition	Process	Soil regime		
Climate	Temperature	Heat flow	Temperature regime		
	Atmospheric deposition	Solute flow	Solution composition		
	Precipitation, Evaporation	Water flow	Maictura ragima		
	(Man regulated) Plant cover	Water flow	Moisture regime		
	(Man regulated) Plant production	C-cycling	C-status		
Organisms		Nutrient cycling	Solution advantion (provinitate status		
Organishis	Man: Fertilization	Solute flow	Solution/adsorption/precipitate status	Management,	
	Treefall, Faunal activity	Bioturbation		ES services	
	Man: Tillage	Turbation	Colid mother distribution	L3 Services	
Relief	Truncation	Erosion	Solid matter distribution		
	Burial	Deposition		,	
	Exposition (radiation, precipitation)	Water flow	Moisture regime		
Parent material	Initial Mineralogy	Chem. weathering	Mineralogical composition		
	Initial Toxturo	Phys. Weathering	Toxturo profilo		
		Clay migration			
	Initial Chemistry	Chemical equilibriums	Solution/adsorption/precipitate status		
Time	Changes in boundary conditions	Process dynamics	Regime dynamics		

Indirectly GC-affected

 \rightarrow "GC-ready" soil models should respond to same BC's and comprise the same processes as complete pedogenetic models

Schools of soil-landscape P.M.

- 1. (Spatially distributed) 1D+t pedogenetic models
 - Often developed from leaching models \rightarrow include hydrology
 - Most cases: Mechanistic process descriptions
 No spatial interaction
 - Examples: Kirkby, Orthod, Witch, Runge, HP1, SoilGen
- 2. (Spatially explicit) 2D+t and 3D+t pedogenetic models
 - Developed from mass wasting/soil production models
 - Most cases: No hydrology

Spatial interaction at upper boundary

Empirical process descriptions

– Examples: Salvador, Sommer, *mARM3D*, *MILESD*

Update CEC

1-D example: SoilGen

CLORPT-proof?

Factor	Boundary condition	Process	Mech	Emp
Factor Image: Amage: Amage	Temperature	Heat flow		
	Atmospheric deposition	Solute flow		
	Precipitation, Evaporation	Water flow		
	(Man regulated) Plant cover	Water flow		
	(Map regulated) Plant production	C-cycling		
Organisms	(Man regulated) Flant production	Nutrient cycling		
Organishis	Man: Fertilization	Solute flow		
	Treefall, Faunal activity	Bioturbation		
	Man: Tillage	Turbation		
	Truncation	Erosion		
Relief	TemperatureHeat flowAtmospheric depositionSolute flowArecipitation, EvaporationWater flowMan regulated) Plant coverWater flowMan regulated) Plant productionC-cyclingMan regulated) Plant productionSolute flowMan: FertilizationSolute flowTreefall, Faunal activityBioturbationMan: TillageTurbationTruncationErosionBurialDepositionSoposition (radiation, precipitation)Water flownitial MineralogyChem. weatheringnitial ChemistryChemical equilibr.Changes in boundary conditionsFreical equilibr.			
	Exposition (radiation, precipitation)	Solute flowWater flowWater flowC-cyclingNutrient cyclingSolute flowBioturbationTurbationErosionDepositionWater flowChem. weatheringPhys. WeatheringClay migrationChemical equilibr.		
	Initial Mineralogy	Chem. weathering		
Parent		Phys. Weathering		
material		Clay migration		
	Initial Chemistry	Chemical equilibr.		
Time	Changes in boundary conditions			

Model structure Input parameters Input conditions (annual/daily): Exposition Climate (P, PE, deposition, T) Initial conditions: Vegetation (growth stages), Bioturbation, Mineralogy . . **. . .** . Texture Fertilization • Erosion/sedimentation events Chemistry Tillage events • C V. Annual Daily Sec-hour \mathbf{V} Predict h-θ-K relations Plant growth Litter input C-turnover CO₂-diffusion Chemical weathering Runoff Water flow (Richards equation) Heat flow Physical weathering Solute flow (CDE) Colloid transport Chemical equilibria Cation exchange Bioturbation Plowing

Finke&Hutson, 2008; Finke, 2012

Pedogenetic modelling

7

40-80 cm

1-D example: SoilGen

Verification status

Parameter Calibration		Quantitative field data	
		verification	
SOC	Yu et al. 2013	Finke&Hutson 2008;	
		Yu et al. 2013; Zwertvaegher	
		et al. 2013; Opolot et al. 2014	
Calcite	Finke&Hutson 2008;	Zwertvaegher et al. 2013;	
	Finke 2012;	Opolot et al. 2014	
	Zwertvaegher et al.		
	2013		
Clay	Finke, 2012;	Finke, 2012; Sauer et al. 2012;	
	Finke et al., in press	Zwertvaegher et al. 2013;	
		Opolot et al. 2014; Finke et al.,	
		in press	
CEC, BS, pH	-	Sauer et al. 2012;	
		Zwertvaegher et al. 2013;	
		Opolot et al. 2014	

Good:	Texture, OC,	calcite	
Fair:	CEC		
Poor:	BS, pH		
Emphasis: improved			
	weathering +	- chemistry	
		Opolot et al.	, 2014







Pedogenetic modelling

1-D example: SoilGen



Distributed 1-D

SoilGen for Soilscape genesis

After reconstruction of hydrology (Modflow):

- a. Simulation soil development at 100 locations (SoilGen)
 - Rapid decalcification
 - Decreasing Base Saturation →





b. Mapping soil properties at points in time (regression kriging)

BS% in topsoil of lateglacial coversand

Zwertvaegher et al., 2013





3-D example: *MILESD*

CLORPT-proof?

Factor	Boundary condition	Process	Mech	Emp
	Temperature	Heat flow		
Climate	Atmospheric deposition	Solute flow		
	Precipitation, Evaporation	Water flow		
	(Man regulated) Plant cover	Water flow		
	(Map regulated) Plant production	C-cycling		
Organisms	(Mail regulated) Plant production	Nutrient cycling		
Organishis	Man: Fertilization	Solute flow		
	Treefall, Faunal activity	Bioturbation		
	Man: Tillage	Turbation		
	Truncation	Erosion		
Organisms Relief Parent material	Burial	Deposition		
	Exposition (radiation, precipitation)	Water flow		
	Initial Mineralogy	Chem. weathering		
Parent		Phys. Weathering		
material	Initial lexture	Clay migration		
	Initial Chemistry	Chemical equilibr.		
Time	Changes in boundary conditions			

Model structure



Vanwalleghem et al., 2013

3-D example: MILESD

Verification status

Parameter	Calibration	Visual field data
		verification
SOC	Vanwalleghem et al., 2013	Vanwalleghem et al., 2013
Layer	Vanwalleghem et al., 2013	Vanwalleghem et al., 2013
thickness		
Clay, Silt,	Vanwalleghem et al., 2013	Vanwalleghem et al., 2013
Sand		
BD	Vanwalleghem et al., 2013	Vanwalleghem et al., 2013

Good: Texture, BD, soil depth Poor: SOC Emphasis:

> Add heat + water flow improve SOC- and landscape modules

> > coarse

sand

3 - silt





Layer + *profile thickness*

3-D example: *MILESD*



Literature scan for model completeness

Case selection:

- WoS-papers on soil(-scape) formation models
- No single-issue models
- 29 cases (1977-2013)

Classified into:

- Pedon (1D+t) models
- Distributed pedon models
- 2D+t models (spatial but no depth discretization, "soil production models")
- 3D+t models (spatial with depth discretization)

Checked for model completeness

- Soil forming processes Bockheim and Gennadiyev (2000)
- Either empirical or mechanistic approaches noted

Checked on field testing

Literature scan for model completeness

Checklist soil forming processes

	Simulated soil formation process	Description
1	Erosion	Removal of topsoil material
2	Deposition	Addition of material on the topsoil
3	Physical weathering	Reduction in grain sizes due to fragmentation of particles
4	Chemical weathering	Breakdown of primary minerals and -possibly- formation of secondary minerals
5	Bioturbation	Mixing of soil layers by faunal, floral or human activity
6	Melanization	Accumulation of well-humified organic matter within the upper mineral soil
7	Argilluviation	Movement of clay (lessivage)
8	Calcification	Accumulation of secondary carbonates and gypsum
9	Base cation leaching	Eluviation of base cations (Ca, Mg, K, Na) from the solum under extreme leaching conditions
10	Biological enrichment of cations	Vegetation-induced cycling of base-cations
11	Ferralitization	Residual enrichment of Al and Fe and loss of Si by weathering of primary and secondary minerals
12	Anthrosolization	Effects of human activities such as deep working, intensive fertilization, additions of materials, irrigation with sediment-rich waters, and wet cultivation
13	Gleization	Development of reductimorphic or redoximorphic features
14	Silification	Secondary accumulation of Si
15	Paludization	Peat formation: deep accumulation of organic matter
16	Vertization	Shrinking and swelling of soils, evident at the landscape, pedon, and microscopic scales
17	Andosolization	Domination of fine earth fraction by amorphous (Fe, Al) compounds
18	Podzolisation	Movement of organic matter possibly complexed with Fe and Al compounds
19	Cryoturbation	Frost stirring of soil horizons and components under (near-)permafrost conditions
20	Salinization	Accumulation of soluble salts of Na, Ca, Mg, and K as chlorides, sulfates, carbonates, and bicarbonates
21	Solonization	Leaching of excess soluble salts and Na-dominated colloids become dispersed. Soils with a strongly alkaline reaction
22	Solodization	Leaching (argilluviation) of dispersed Na-dominated colloids
	Field testing	

Literature scan for model completeness

Completeness of pedon and soilscape models

	Paper count (n=29)			
		Distributed 1D		3D soilscape
Simulated soil formation process	1D Pedon models	pedon models	2D soilscape models	models
1Erosion				
2Deposition				
3Physical weathering				
4Chemical weathering				
5Bioturbation				
6 <mark>Melanization</mark>				
7 <mark>Argilluviation</mark>				
8 Calcification				
9 <mark>Base cation leaching</mark>				
10 <mark>Biological enrichment of cations</mark>				
11 <mark>Ferralitization</mark>				
12Anthrosolization				
13 <mark>Gleization</mark>				
14 <mark>Silification</mark>				
15 <mark>Paludization</mark>				
16 <mark>Vertization</mark>				
17 <mark>Andosolization</mark>				
18 <mark>Podzolisation</mark>				
19 <mark>Cryoturbation</mark>				
20 <mark>Salinization</mark>				
21 <mark>Solonization</mark>				
22 <mark>Solodization</mark>				
Field testing				
	 Reasonable but still incomplete p Lateral interactions missing In most cases driven by water flo Field testing quite common 	brocess coverage	 Low process coverage Lateral interactions present No water flow > mass redist Limited field testing 	ribution=empirical
	Conditional GC-ready (if 3D and mo	ore complete)	Not GC-ready (until water flow	+ more complete)

Challenges (1)

- A. 1D+t pedogenetic models:
 - Further increase process coverage
 - Go 3D (computational challenge)
- B. 2D+t and 3D+t pedogenetic models:
 - Include hydrology
 - Increase process coverage, decrease empirism
 - Field testing
- C. GC-ready soil models need what's missing above
 - Schools need interfacing
 - → IUSS working group "soilscape genesis modeling" http://soillandscape.org/

Challenges (2)

D. Quantify effect of uncertain boundary conditions (may affect final-state calibrations/verifications and cause bias)



Noisy climate: initially stronger clay depletion: *"leaching cannot be undone"*

Reconstructed land use: uncertain age of agriculture



Clay depletion from plow layer is stronger with longer period of agriculture

Challenges (3)

E. Deal with strain (volume change, structure change)

Strong effect of decalcification, change in SOC, lessivage on pF-curve. Updating h-θ-K by PTF under iso-volumetric assumption (finite differencing) is imprecise.



